International application No. PCT/US97/13965

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(6) :G08G 1/123 US CL :701/200/207.211,117; 340/988,994						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)						
U.S. :	701/200, 201, 207, 208, 209, 211, 213, 117; 340/9	88, 990, 994, 995; 73/178R				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)						
C. DOC	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X	US 5,400,020 A (JONES et al) 21 M	arch 1995, fig. 1 and abstract.	1, 4/1, 5/1, 5/4, 6-9, 11-14 &23			
X, E	US 5,657,010 A (JONES) 12 August 1997, fig. 1 and abstract. 1, 4/1, 5/1, 5/4, 6-9, 11-14 & 23					
x	US 4,799,162 A (SHINKAWA et al) 17 January 1989, figs. 5-6 and 1, 4/1, 5/1, 5/4, abstract. 1, 4/1, 5/1, 5/4, 6-9, 11-14 & 23		1, 4/1, 5/1, 5/4, 6-9, 11-14 & 23			
A	US 5,483,234 A (CARREEL et al) 09 January 1996, fig. 1. 1-26		1-26			
A	US 5,493,295 A (LEWINER et al) 20 February 1996, see the entire document.					
X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.						
Special categories of cited documents: 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand						
	ment defining the general state of the art which is not considered e of particular relevance	the principle or theory underlying the				
E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is		"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone				
	to establish the publication date of another citation or other isl reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be			
O, qoen	amont referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	considered to involve an inventive combined with one or more other such being obvious to a person skilled in the	documents, such combination			
document published prior to the international filing date but later than "A." document member of the same patent family			femily			
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 12 DECEMBER 1997			ch report			
lame and ma Commissione Box PCT	niling address of the ISA/US of Patents and Trademarks	Authorized officer				
Washington, acsimile No.		Telephone No. (703) 305-3900				

International application No. PCT/US97/13965

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No.		Relevant to claim No.	
x	US 4,937,751 A (NIMURA et al) 26 June 1990, fig. 3 and abstract. 27-31		27-31	
x	US 4,812,845 A (YAMADA et al) 14 March 1989, fig. abstract.	l and	27-31	
Х, Р	US 5,614,898 A (KAMIYA et al) 25 March 1997, fig. l abstract.	and	27-31	
A	US 4,307,859 A (HAYASHI et al) 29 December 1981, 1	ñg. 2.	27-31	
		i		

International application No. PCT/US97/13965

The appropriate of the appropria					
Claims Nos: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:	Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)				
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: 2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out. specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Extra Sheet. 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out. specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Extra Sheet. 1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fees. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest	1 1 1	be searched by this Authority, namely:			
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Extra Sheet. 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	because they relate to parts of the international applic	ation that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such n be carried out, specifically:			
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Extra Sheet. 1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		n accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).			
Please See Extra Sheet. 1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)			
1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:				
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Please See Extra Sheet.				
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		•			
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	_				
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid claims.	by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable			
only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2. As all searchable claims could be searched without eff of any additional fee.	fort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment			
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest	3. As only some of the required additional search fees we only those claims for which fees were paid, specifical	re timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers			
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
	4. No required additional search fees were timely paid restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claim	by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is s; it is covered by claims Nos.:			
	Remark on Protest The additional accord from	ind by the second secon			
The property decompanies and payment of additional scalett ices.					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(1))(July 1992)*

International application No. PCT/US97/13965

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING This ISA found multiple inventions as follows: This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be searched, the appropriate additional search fees must be paid. Group I, claim(s)1-26, drawn to a system or method for determining the location of vehicles used in calculating the arrival times for the transit system, classified in class 701/207. Group II, claim(s) 27-31, drawn to a system for playing messages on individual vehicles travelling along the given routes, classified in class 701/1. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The inventions are not linked in operation and perform completely different operations.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet)(July 1992)*